

## Tunnelling through asymmetric parabolic potential barriers

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1997 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 5243

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/30/14/031>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.108

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 05:49

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

COMMENT

# Tunnelling through asymmetric parabolic potential barriers

Zafar Ahmed

Nuclear Physics Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Bombay 400 085, India

Received 14 April 1997

**Abstract.** An exact transmission coefficient for the asymmetric parabolic barrier potential, i.e.  $V(x) = [V_1 - \frac{1}{2}m\omega_1^2x^2]\Theta(-x) + [V_2 - \frac{1}{2}m\omega_2^2x^2]\Theta(x)$ , where  $\Theta(x \leq 0) = 0$  and  $\Theta(x > 0) = 1$ , is rederived.

The asymmetric parabolic barrier (APB) potential is defined as

$$V(x) = [V_1 - \frac{1}{2}m\omega_1^2x^2]\Theta(-x) + [V_2 - \frac{1}{2}m\omega_2^2x^2]\Theta(x) \tag{1}$$

where  $\Theta(x \leq 0) = 0$  and  $\Theta(x > 0) = 1$ . This potential has earlier [1] been referred to as an inverted biharmonic oscillator potential, and an analytic transmission coefficient has been proposed. This model barrier is found suitable to parametrize nuclear fission barriers [2] and also bears a pedagogical advantage [3].

It can be checked that the acclaimed transmission coefficient [1, 2] entails the following shortcomings: (i) it does not degenerate to  $T(E)$  of the parabolic/harmonic barrier when  $(\omega_1 = \omega_2)$ ; (ii) it does not yield the classical limit, i.e.  $\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} T(E) = \Theta(E - V_0)$ ; (iii) it does not yield the high-energy limit, i.e.  $T(E \rightarrow \infty) = 1$ ; and also (iv) it does not satisfy the unitarity, i.e.  $T(E) \leq 1$ . Although we found that a minor *ad-hoc* correction (squaring of the square bracket in equation (5)) enables  $T(E)$  in [1, 2] to meet these *necessary* conditions successfully, yet the question of the correctness of  $T(E)$  remained. Such thoughts have indeed set the ground for a rederivation of  $T(E)$  for the biharmonic barrier. Thus, in this comment we intend to report the correct expression for  $T(E)$  for the potential given in equation (1).

By defining  $\alpha_1 = (V_1 - E)/\hbar\omega_1$ ,  $\alpha_2 = (V_2 - E)/\hbar\omega_2$  and an asymmetry parameter,  $\eta = \sqrt{\omega_2/\omega_1}$ , we employ parabolic cylindrical functions [4],  $E(\alpha, x)$ , to find the transmission coefficient as

$$T(E) = \frac{4\eta}{|E'(\alpha_1, 0)E(\alpha_2, 0) + \eta E(\alpha_1, 0)E'(\alpha_2, 0)|^2} \tag{2}$$

The function  $E(a, 0)$  is analytically expressed as  $E(a, 0) = 2^{-3/4}[k^{-1/2} + ik^{1/2}]\sqrt{f(a)}$ . Similarly, we have  $E'(a, 0) = -2^{-1/4}[k^{-1/2} - ik^{1/2}]/\sqrt{f(a)}$ . The function  $f(a)$  is defined as

$$f(a) = \left| \frac{\Gamma(1/4 + ia/2)}{\Gamma(3/4 + ia/2)} \right| \tag{3}$$

such that  $f(-a) = f(a)$ ,  $f(0) = 2.95871$ ,  $f(\pm\infty) = 0$  and  $k = \sqrt{1 + e^{2\pi a}} - e^{\pi a}$  [4]. The transmission coefficient,  $T(E)$ , finally simplifies to

$$T(E) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1 + e^{2\pi\alpha_1}}\sqrt{1 + e^{2\pi\alpha_2}}[\eta(f_1/f_2) + (1/\eta)(f_2/f_1)] + \frac{1}{2}[e^{\pi\alpha_1} e^{\pi\alpha_2} + 1]} \tag{4}$$

where  $f_1 = f(\alpha_1)$  and  $f_2 = f(\alpha_2)$ . Now let us rewrite the transmission coefficient of [1, 2] in a similar notation for the sake of comparison by denoting it as  $T'(E)$ :

$$T'(E) = \frac{\sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2}}{\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{1 + e^{2\pi\alpha_1}} \sqrt{1 + e^{2\pi\alpha_2}} [\sqrt{\omega_1} \sqrt{f_2/f_1} + \sqrt{\omega_2} \sqrt{f_1/f_2}]}. \quad (5)$$

Note the differences between (4) and (5).

Let us use  $\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} |x + iy| = \sqrt{2\pi} |y|^{x-1/2} e^{-\pi|y|/2}$  to appreciate the large  $\alpha$  behaviour of  $f(\alpha)$ . We obtain an important asymptotic expression as  $f(\alpha) \sim |\alpha/2|^{-1/2}$ . Using this we find two more interesting transmission coefficients: when  $\omega_2 \rightarrow 0$ , the APB potential presents a semi-infinite parabolic step barrier and we use the asymptotic value of  $f(\alpha_2)$  in equation (4) to obtain

$$T^{\text{step}}(E) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{1 + e^{2\pi\alpha_1}} [f_1/\delta + \delta/f_1] + \frac{1}{2}} \Theta(E - V_2) \quad (6)$$

where  $\delta = \sqrt{|V_2 - E|/2\hbar\omega_1}$ . Note the step function above. Next, when  $V_2 = 0$  and  $\omega_2 \rightarrow 0$  the incident particle encounters half-a-parabolic barrier, since the potential for  $x > 0$  is zero, and equation (6) yields

$$T^{\text{half}}(E) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{1 + e^{2\pi\alpha_1}} [f_1/\gamma + \gamma/f_1] + \frac{1}{2}} \quad (7)$$

where  $\gamma = \sqrt{E/2\hbar\omega_1}$ . Note the disappearance of the step function above.

## References

- [1] Prakash M 1976 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **9** 1847
- [2] Prakash M 1978 *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys.* **4** 1455
- [3] Zhakhirev B N and Suzko A A 1990 *Direct and Inverse Problems: Potentials in Quantum Mechanics* (Berlin: Springer) p 90
- [4] Abramowitz M and Stegun I A 1970 *Handbook of Mathematics* (New York: Dover) p 692